Project: The Impact of Ultra-Low-Cost-Carrier Competition on Airfare

Course: Senior Capstone

Keywords: Econometrics, airline competition, fixed-effect regression models

Project Summary:

This project was discovered after my internship experience at Southwest Airlines as a Market Strategy Analyst in December 2016. During my internship, I was responsible for creating a yield management strategy for 7 airline markets in the United States. At Southwest I noticed that Ultra-Low-Cost-Carriers, such as Frontier, Spirit, and Allegiant, were credited for dramatically decreasing fares in airline markets. As a capstone intern project, I used an econometric model, produced by Michael D. Wittman and William S. Swelbar at the MIT International Center for Air Transportation, to identify what the fare decreases Southwest could expect when ULCC entered their markets.

My research expands on previous literature and econometric models to incorporate the effect of ULCC over their period of their existence. Using panel data collected from the Department of Transportation, I perform an empirical analysis. I use a fixed-effect regression model to incorporate time and market fixed-effects. My empirical results add to the limited research on ULCC and demonstrate a unique decrease in fare to be associated with ULCCs. With my updated econometric model, additional control variables are included which more adequately represent the effect of ULCCs on airfare. This research was presented at the 2018 National Conference for Undergraduate Research.

The Impact of Ultra-Low-Cost-Carriers on Market Airfare Roselyn Anderson, Dr. Zach Raff, University of Wisconsin-Stout

Background



Dackground	Data S	burnnary	IVIAIKEU
 Since the airline deregulation, carriers have been classified based on their unit costs and fare offerings and were previously classified as either: legacy carriers and low-cost carriers (LCC) In 2010 the classification of ultra-low-cost-carriers (ULCC) emerged in the US and features airlines with: lower unit costs, offer extremely low base fares, and rely heavily on ancillary fees, e.g., baggage fees, to obtain revenue This study empirically explores the impact of the presence of ULCC's on the average fare in a market 	Table 2: Carrier ClassificaULCCL327327Table 3: ConCompetition 1Competition403250%3	Alaskan 5% United 18% Delta 23%	
Data Collection	Econometric Fra	mework & Results	s Applic
Data was collected from the DOT's Domestic Airline Consumer Airfare Report. The data included domestic markets under 750 miles and average market fare, carrier average fare and passenger countAverage of MKT-fare 323.52Jata was collected from the DOT's Domestic Airline Consumer Airfare Report. The data included domestic markets under 750 miles and average market fare, carrier average fare and passenger countAverage of MKT-fare 323.52Jata varage of MKT-fare 	 The dependent variable is the a weighted average of: carrier's f A fixed-effect regression model measure of the presence of UL assigned "1" if Frontier, Allegian if they are not 	$\beta_6^*(United_{it}) + \beta_7^*(LCC_{it}) + \beta_8^*$ apetition _{it}) + $\alpha_t + R_i^* \gamma_t + U_{it}$ average market fare, which is are * passenger share is used to estimate the bina CC's. The ULCC category was	Pelta (control) a LCC ry Allegiant '0" Frontier 0 50 0 50 0 50 100 We can forecast the market fare when The model estimates the following for \$142.38 fare, Allegiant with a \$106.11 A LCC is associated with a \$201.5 aver with a \$289.63 average fare On average ULCC's are \$84.66 cheaper legacies
Carrier Classifications	Alaska United	-108.44*** 0.000 -21.8*** 0.000	Future R
Ultra-low-cost-carriers	LCC HUB Miles Competition 2 Competition 3 Competition 4 Year-Quarter FE	-88.13*** 0.000 -4.88 0.31 0.002 0.871 30.71*** 0.000 48.78*** 0.000 34.62** 0.039 Yes Yes	 Further analysis could be performed of Previous literature suggests the effect try to yield higher revenue opportunit Competition interaction variables could to identify the estimated fare impacts
Southwest American Airlines	Region-quarter FE	Yes	Refere
jetBlue UNITED	Adjusted R	Square: 0.803 significance, *** = 99% significance	 Swelbar, W. S., & Wittman, M. D. (201) Airfares: The Impacts of Competition, MIT International Center for Air Transport



WISCONSIN'S POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY

Data Summarv

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STOUT · WISCONSIN'S POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY Inspiring Innovation. Learn more at www.uwstout.edu



Research

Market Share JetBlue American Airlines 25% **Southwest Airlines** 24%

ication

Mark	ket Fa	re				
				289.6	9.63	
		201	.5			
14	42.38					
6.11						
2.03						
150	D	200	250	300	350	

nen a specific classification is present for ULCC's: Spirit is associated with a 11 fare, Frontier with a \$102.03 fare verage fare and Delta (Legacy) associated

per than LCC's and \$172 cheaper than

on each of the ULCC's over time. ect to be partially diminishing as carriers nities (Swelbar & Wittman, 2013) ould also be included. This would be able cts of two, three, or even four carriers

rences

013). Evolving Trends of U.S. Domestic on, Consolidation, and Low-Cost Carriers. nsportation.